DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001 (916) 653-5791

August 15, 2014

Mr. Paul Oshel District Engineer Semitropic Water Storage District Post Office Box 8043 Wasco, California 93280

Poso Creek Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Final Review

Dear Mr. Oshel:

This letter transmits the Department of Water Resources (DWR) final review of the Poso Creek Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Plan. The public comment period on DWR's review of the Poso Creek IRWM Plan has closed and no public comments were received. DWR has determined that the Poso Creek IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Program Guidelines. The final review is posted on the following link: http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm.

If adopted by the Regional Water Management Group and project proponents, by the appropriate dates, the Poso Creek IRWM Plan will satisfy the terms of the Round 1 Implementation Grant Agreement's default clause and the adopted plan eligibility criteria for the 2014 Drought Solicitation. The grant agreement and grant solicitation have separate dates for adoption compliance.

To simplify submitting proof of adoption, DWR will compile and track this information and inform DWR grant managers and grant application review teams appropriately. You may submit proof of adoption material as often as necessary. When submitting information, please fill out and the IRWM Plan Adoption Form, found at: http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/resourceslinks.cfm, along with scanned proof of adoption, and then submit the material directly to Craig Cross at the email address listed below.

If you have any questions, please contact Craig Cross at (916) 651-9204 or Craig Cross@water.ca.gov

Sincerely,

Tracie L. Billington, P.E. Chief Financial Assistance Branch

Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibilty to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements will need at least 2 of the requirements to pass. Standards with 4 or 5 requirements will need at least 3 to pass. Some plan elements are legislated requirements. Such plan elements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standard Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS

IRWM Plan Standard: As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidlelines.

I his field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields

Overall Standard Sufficient: are "y", the the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", ?, not sure,

more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed Fields with an asterisk * are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.						
	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or						
Included	= no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for						
Included	evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or						
	supporting information.						
Plan Standard Source							
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines	Page (s) in the Cuidelines (Neuropher 2012) which paythin to the Deswissment						
Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.						
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, if applicable. This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a						
Legislative support and/or Other Citations	weblink of the regulatory code.						
Evidence of Sufficiency							
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific						
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWW Plan	paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.						
	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph						
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether						
	required.						
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).						

2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: Poso Cree

Regional Water Management Group: Poso Creek Regional Water Management Group

IRWM Plan Title: 2014 Poso Creek Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Update

PLAN IS SUFFICIENT

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient
Governance	Yes	
Region Description	Yes	
<u>Objectives</u>	Yes	
Resource Management Strategies	Yes	
Integration *	Yes	
Project Review Process	Yes	
Impact and Benefit	Yes	
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes	
Data Management	Yes	
<u>Finance</u>	Yes	
Technical Analysis	Yes	
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes	
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes	
Stakeholder Involvement	Yes	
Coordination	Yes	
Climate Change	Yes	

^{*} If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

Additional Comments:

While deemed consistent with the 2012 Guidelines Plan Standards, DWR recommends that the following be addressed in future IRWM Plan updates:

A description of how the economic feasibility factor and the status of the project proponent's plan adoption factor are used in the project review process could not be found. Please see the Project Review Process Standard.

The funding mechanisms were not addressed clearly. See the Finance Plan Standard.

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan :	Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document a governance structure to ensure updates to the IRWM Plan			Page(s)		-	l	
The name of the RWMG responsible for implementation of the IRWMP	y/n	у	18/35	CWC §10539	Page 2 after cover sheet, Sections 2.0, 2.1, 2.3		У
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n	У	19/36		2.2		у
A description of how the chosen form of governance addresses and ensure	es:						
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q	У	19/36-37		Sections 2.2, 2.3, 3.9 ; 11	The Plan identifies the outreach activities through periodic meetings of the IRWM Group, attendance of DWR IRWM planning workshops, and maintenance of an e-mail communication list. The RWMG has implemented a Public Involvement Process (PIP) for Poso Creek IRWM Group public outreach, detailed in the PIP drafted in September 2013 and included in Appendix H. Interested Parties can be any individual that attends an IRWM Group meeting and the participation is free of cost.	у
Effective decision making	y/n/q	У	19/37		Section 2.4	The decision making process involves the discussion and review of the water management needs of RWMG Participants, Stakeholders, and Interested Parties at periodic IRWM Group meetings. The Plan discusses decision making and what is to be done if the decision at hand is a high priority or medium priority item. A simple majority is used as the voting strategy.	у
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q	У	19/37		Sections 2.2, 2.3	Opportunities are available in the form of key Work Groups that have been involved in the IRWMP planning effort (e.g. wildlife enhancement, DAC work Group, Groundwater Banking, etc.)	У
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q	у	19/37-38		Sections 2.2, 2.7	Communication generally handled through formation of workgroups which then report to chairperson who reports to the RWMG. Neighboring community water districts regularly participate in RWMG meetings. Wildlife habitat interests receive regular communication from the IRWM Group and work with the water districts to incorporate habitat components into water reliability projects. The Watershed Coordinators, the Federal Natural Resources Conservation Service and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and representatives for the DAC communities also interact regularly within the IRWM Group and with the RWMG.	у
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	У	19/38	<u>§10540, §10541</u>	Section 2.6	The IRWM Group was formed due to region's concern including groundwater and surface water supply reliability, and the desire to address these concerns using a regional approach to insure long-term suitability of water supplies. The governance structure of the RWMG helps to ensure long-term implementation of the latest IRWM Plan. All RWMG Participants have signed an MOU which expresses the long-term interest and commitment to regional water management. Meeting the financial obligation of the RWMG will protect the long-term outlook of the group.	У
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q	У	19/38		Section 2.7	The Plan outlines the coordination efforts including regularly scheduled monthly meetings; working with the watershed coordinators; supporting the efforts of the 'Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley'; formalizing letters of agreements with neighboring IRWMs; Attendance at conferences and coordination meetings (Round Table of Regions); participating in meetings with environmental organizations; presenting Plan project and program implementation case-studies at technical conferences.	У

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded		Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP.		Grant Program Guidelines Source	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q	у	19/38		Sections 2.5: 4.3	Regional Goals and Measurable Objectives are identified by the RWMG Participants, Stakeholders, and Interested Parties as adhering to the Regional priorities and the RWMG Vision and Mission statements.	у
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q	у	19/38		Section 2.5	RWMG maintains periodic meetings in a format that allows for adaptive management practices for updating the Plan in response to changing conditions to the Region.	У
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	у	19/38		Sections 2.5, 2.6	The IRWM Group has established the goal of updating their IRWM Plan every 5 to 7 years, through associated Plan amendments or a complete rewrite of the Plan, or as needed to satisfy new IRWMP standards established by the DWR.	У
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q	у	35	CWC §10543	Sections 23 26	RWMG distributes formal communications including Notice of Intent and RWMG Meeting Notices as required , or when otherwise deemed appropriate by the RWMG. The Plan describes the process for publishing an NOI in local newspapers. Plan includes the copies of the public notices.	У

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Desc	ription					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	dard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	у	20		Section 3.2		У
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	у	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	Sections 3.5, 3.7, 3.8		у
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	у	19/39		Sections 3.0, 3.5 (map) figure 3.1		у
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	у	19/39		Sections 3.1 (Table 3.1, Table 3.2), 3.2, 3.3, 3.4		у
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	у	19/40		Section 3.6		у
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	у	19/40		Sections 3.0, 3.9, 3.10	According to the Plan there are no tribal communities in the Region. The Plan discusses social/cultural makeup as well as info on Disadvantaged Communities (DAC's). The water challenges that these groups face are described.	у
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	У	19/40	§10541. (e)(3)	Sections 3.0, 3.10, 4.0,4.3, 4.6	Major objectives within the Region are described throughout the Plan including water supply reliability, groundwater levels, water supply costs, monitoring, consideration of State goals and priorities from the 20x2020 Water Conservation Plan, and Consideration of the 2012 DWR IRWMP Proposition 84 Guidelines (2012). Major conflicts of the region were described including economic and social development in the region which requires stable water supply, and maintaining groundwater levels.	у
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	у	19/40		Section 3.11	The regional boundary was determined by political/jurisdictional boundaries, natural surface water systems and groundwater basins.	у
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	у	19/40		Section 3.11		У
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	у	38		Sections 3.3, 3.11		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	У	20/40 - 41	§10540.(c)	Section 4.5		У
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives: - How the objectives were developed - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.) - What groups were involved in the process - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	У	20/41		Sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10		У
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measureable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	У	20/41 - 42	<u>10541.(e)</u>	Sections 4.5; Table 4.1	The objectives are assessed using measurement metrics described in the Plan.	У
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	у	20/42-43		Sections 4.4, 4.5	Plan identifies goals 1-5 as "Primary Regional Goals" and goals 6-7 designated as "Secondary Regional Goals". Primary Goals have direct benefits and noticeable impacts on the water supplies and demands. Secondary goals are viewed as promoting the sustainability within the region. The RWMG's approach has been to meet the Secondary Regional Goals, where appropriate, by integrating them into a project or program that meets one or more Primary Regional Goal.	У
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	У	43		Sections 4.4, 4.6		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Managem	nent Stra	tegies (F	RMS)			Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n	у	20/43	CWP Update 2009 Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	Section 4.8 (Table 4.3)		У
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n	у	20/43		Section 4.8		у
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n	у	44		Section 4.8		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration									
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Star	idard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n		
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration ¹ : - Stakeholder/institutional - Resource - Project implementation	y/n/q	у	20/44 - 45	§10540.(g); §10541.(h)(2)	12.1, 12.2	The IRWM Group governance structure fosters both the integration and coordination of member districts, agencies, and interests. The RWMG Participants and DAC Work Group are integrated into the planning and implementation efforts via their roles on the RWMG. The RWMG uses an integrated process to solicit and review projects as described in the Plan.	У		

^{1.} If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Revi	ew Proc	ess				Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in If y/n/q o	esent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative on needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	У	20/45		Sections 5.0, 5.1, 5.5		У
Does the project review process in the plan incorporate the following factors:							
How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	у	20		Sections 5.1, 5.2		у
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	у	20		Section 5.2		у
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	у	20		Section 5.1		у
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	у	20		Sections 5.1, 5.4		у
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	У	20		Section 5.4, Appendix G (PDCF form)		у
Project costs and financing	y/n	У	20	<u>§75028.(a)</u>	Section 5.3, Appendix G		У
Address economic feasibility	y/n	n	21		Sections 5.1, 5.3	A description of how project economic feasibility is considered is not apparent.	n
Project status	y/n	у	21		Section 5.5, see also PDCF form at end of plan		у
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	У	21/48		Section 5.1		У
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	У	21		Section 5.4		у
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	У	21		Section 5.4		У
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	n	21		Section 5.1	A description of how the status of the project proponent's plan adoption is considered is not apparent.	n
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	У	21		Section 5.4; Appendix G		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Bend	efit					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EJ concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n	у	21		Sections 6.1, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5		У
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n	у	49		Section 6.6		У
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n	у	50		Section 6.2		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performan	/M Plan Standard: Plan Performance and Monitoring										
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient				
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not he IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n				
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	у	21/53	PRC §75026.(a)	Section 7.1, Table 4.1		У				
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	У	21/53	<u>r ne 373020.(a j</u>	Section 7.2, 7.3		У				

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Manag	gement					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	у	54		Section 7.4, Table 7.1		У
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n	У	54		Section 7.4		У
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	У	54		Section 7.4		У
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	У	54		Section 7.4		У
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	у	54		Section 7.4		у
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	У	54		Section 7.5		У
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	у	54		Section 7.5		У
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n	У	54		Section 7.5		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	у	21		Sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3		У
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	у	21		Sections 8.1, 8.2		у
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.	y/n	n	21	§10541.(e)(8)	Sections 8.1, 8.2	The funding mechanisms are not addressed clearly.	n
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	у	21		Sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3		У
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	у	21		Section 8.4		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	idard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan *	y/n	у	22		Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local W	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	У	22		Section 10.1		У
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	У	22	\$40F40 (b)	Sections 10.1,10.2		У
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	У	22	<u>§10540.(b)</u>	Sections 10.1,10.2		У
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	У	58		Sections 10.1, 10.2		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local La	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Inclu	uded	Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	У	22/59 - 62		Section 10.3		У
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	у	22/59 - 62		Section 10.3		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involv	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. qualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	y/n	у	22/63	§10541.(g)	Sections 11.4, 11.5, Appendix H		у
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to invlovement *	y/n	у	64	§10541.(h) (2)	Section 11.1		у
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	у	23		Section 11.3		у
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	у	23		Sections 11.1, 2.4		У
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	у	23		Sections 2.3, 4.3,11.1		У
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	у	23		Section 11.1		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included		Plan Star	Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. Jualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	у	23/65	§10541.(e)(13)	Sections 12.1, 12.2		у
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	у	23/65		Section 12.4		У
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	У	23		Sections 11.2,12.3		у

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Present in the IRWMP.		Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilites assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	у	23/66 - 73	Climate Change Handbook vulnerability	Sections 13.1, 13.2, 13.3		У
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	у	23/68	assessment: http://www.water.ca.g ov/climatechange/CCH	Section 13.4		у
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilites based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	andbook.cfm; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative and Policy Context, p. 66 §10541.(e)(11)	Section 13.3		У
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	У	23/66 - 73		Sections 13.3, 13.5		У
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	У	23/68		Sections 5.4, 13.5		У

^{*} Requirement must be addressed.

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL 2012 FI NAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532- 10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020-	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026. 2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II
§10541. (e)(3)	aspx http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	California Watershed Portal